

From: <lynnemeredith@runbox.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 18, 2004 10:52 AM
Subject: Lynne Meredith Update

Freedom Lovers!

We have rested our case and closing arguments are next Wednesday. The only defense evidence we entered was excerpts from my seminars in response to the ones the government had already entered and the testimony of the people who signed the "We agree you are not required to file" letters for both the 1040 Forms and Trusts and Vickie Osborne, a forensic accountant who analyzed our IMF Files (I had a "01" which means "not required to file a 1040".) As soon as my attorney asked Vicki Osborne what '01' meant the prosecution objected and the judge asked for a 'sidebar'. The judge did not want Vicki Osborne to answer the question because it would CONFUSE to jury! I guess so - since I am being charged with "Failure to File" a Return that their own records on me indicates I was not required to file!) I believe the jury 'got' it because we had previously pointed out a letter that Greg Karl received explaining the 'plain English' translation of his file.

We got some tremendous responses from people that have had significant successes with my books and trusts. That made me feel GREAT! I want to thank EVERYONE who so courageously responded!

However, we decided that these people are now truly free and it would be wrong to violate their privacy and expose them to potential retaliation from the IRS again - even though they volunteered. With the IRS it is like volunteering for your own demise!

THE IRS HAD NOT OVERCOME THE BURDEN OF PROOF FOR A FIRST AMENDMENT DEFENSE SO WE COULD NOT JUSTIFY PUTTING THOSE CITIZEN'S UNDER THEIR WRATH AGAIN.

JOE IZEN DID AN INCREDIBLE JOB OF DEFUSING THE GOVERNMENT WITNESSES AND TURNING THEM INTO WITNESSES FOR US. He also did a GREAT job on controlling the alleged evidence that the government was attempting to enter. For example, they wanted to enter the Pilot Connection - Phil Marsh Indictment into evidence against us. All of the attorneys worked together and got it and numerous other crazy pieces of evidence thrown out!

The testimony from Stacy Williams who signed the "Pure Trust is a nontaxable organization" letter was great testimony. He admitted that the letter was written by the National Office of the IRS. He also admitted that the letter on abusive trusts that has been circulated in the past was only applicable to 'certain' trusts and not all trusts.

The government entered certain segments of my seminars into evidence so under the 'doctrine of completeness' we were allowed to play our own excerpts and that is how we

closed our case.

In the middle of hearing my seminar being played in a Court of Law (or whatever kind of Court it is) I could not control my tears. I had to leave to leave the courtroom to regain my composure.

This SHOULD NOT happen in America!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! I would never guess that I would be sitting in Court listening to my seminar opening with "This is Agent Fletcher of the Criminal Division of the IRS and ending with pictures of IRS Criminal Investigation Badges. My books were also entered ie. "Vultures in Eagle's Clothing, is Government Exhibit 19" as evidence of alleged crimes against the government! The Court is completely ignoring the fact that "IN AMERICA SPEECH CANNOT BE CRIMINALIZED!" IT IS WRONG and I believe the jury knows it as well as me!

Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc., 418 U.S. 323, 339, 340 (1974). "Under the First Amendment there is no such thing as a false idea. However pernicious an opinion may seem, we depend for its correction, not on the conscience of judges and juries but on the competition of other ideas."

Buckley, et al. v. Valeo. (1976) 424 U.S. 1, 96 S. Ct. 612, 46 L. Ed. 2d 659. "The First Amendment affords the broadest protection to such political expression in order 'to assure [the] unfettered interchange of ideas for the bringing about of political and social changes desired by the people.' Roth v. United States, 354 U.S. 476, 484 (1957). a major purpose of that Amendment is to protect the free discussion of governmental affairs,....' Mills v. Alabama, 384 U.S. 214, 218 (1966). Debate on public issues should be uninhibited, robust, and wide-open', New York Times Co. v. Sullivan, 376 U.S. 254, 270 (1964).

In a republic where the people are sovereign, the ability of the citizenry to make informed choices is essential." Reaffirmed in, Legal Services Corp. v. Valazques, 531 U.S. 533, 548 (2001)

I am very relieved that it is almost order and I know with all of my heart that everything is in 'divine right order'. We will all be fully acquitted! Please share those type of thoughts about our case. I feel God's Spirit SO strongly!

I also feel my mother's spirit, who died a few days before we were arrested, is with me. I also know that, even though the benches in the Courtroom look almost empty, they are filled with all of the guardian angels who have come to support us. Please pray that the hearts of the jurors get touched with the magnitude of their responsibility. They are the Fourth branch of government and the branch that we must depend upon to save our freedom!

This is not about me anymore. It is the First Amendment that is on trial. Even those who may not agree with what I have to say, should not disagree with my

unalienable right to say it!

Keep us in your prayers.

Pass around this message and NEVER forget:
WITH GOD ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE!

Love and Liberty!

Lynne